UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/709,440	05/05/2004	Ashok V. Joshi	2000.2.31 MIC-021147	3439
55162 CERAMATEC	7590 12/11/200 . INC.	EXAMINER		
2425 SOUTH 9	00 WEST	BOUCHELLE, LAURA A		
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84119			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3763	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments	10/709,440	JOSHI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	LAURA A. BOUCHELLE	3763			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	OATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 A	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pre				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2-5,8-11 and 17-26</u> 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1,6,7,12-16 and 27-33</u> is/are rejected 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	is/are withdrawn from consideration.	on.			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/2/06</u> .	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	oate			

Application/Control Number: 10/709,440 Page 2

Art Unit: 3763

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 6, 7, 12-16, 27-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Joshi et al (US 6109539). Joshi discloses a controlled release fluid delivery device 110 comprising a housing 112 having a fluid reservoir for holding a fluid 111, a means for delivering fluid out of the fluid reservoir 114, means for retaining the fluid 116 proximate an ambient environment, and a means for imparting motion to the fluid retaining member (Col. 8, lines 50-62, Col. 9, lines 7-12). The device may include a gas generating cell 95 (col. 9, lines 7-12). The opening 114 in the reservoir is downward facing and the means for retaining fluid is a porous pad. See Fig. 10. The opening inherently includes means for controlling the rate of fluid flow therethrough. Joshi inherently discloses the method of using the device including the steps of using a fluid delivery mechanism to deliver fluid from a reservoir, retaining the fluid in a retaining member, and imparting motion to the fluid retaining member.
- 3. Claims 30-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Adams et al (US 6938883). Adams discloses a controlled release fluid delivery device comprising a housing 30 having a fluid reservoir 20 therein, a fluid delivery mechanism 310, and a motion mechanism 32 including a motor and a blade element.

Application/Control Number: 10/709,440 Page 3

Art Unit: 3763

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adams in view of Glaser (US 4944898). Claim 33 differs from Adams in calling for the fluid retainer to be a coating on the blade. Glaser teaches that it is well known to coat a fan blade in a material containing a volatile liquid to disperse the liquid vapor into the ambient environment. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the device of Adams to include the retaining material as a coating on the fan blade as taught by Glaser to disperse the liquid vapor into the ambient environment.

### Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 6, 7, 10-16, 27-33 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Art Unit: 3763

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LAURA A. BOUCHELLE whose telephone number is (571)272-2125. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nicholas Lucchesi can be reached on 517-272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Nicholas D Lucchesi/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3763 Laura A Bouchelle Examiner Art Unit 3763 Application/Control Number: 10/709,440

Art Unit: 3763

Examiner, Art Unit 3763

Page 5